

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,342	07/10/2003	Michael R. Myers	P24,592-A USA	2748
7590 06/28/2006			EXAMINER	
Synnestvedt, Lechner & Woodbridge			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
P.O. Box 592 Princeton, NJ 08542			<u> </u>	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/617,342	MYERS ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alton N. Pryor	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ma	arch 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 7-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A44. Land 44. X						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/617,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

I. Rejection of claim 5 and claims 5,6 over obviousness type double patenting over USPN '320 and USPN '158 will not be maintained in light of amendment filed 3/6/06. Terminal Disclaimer has been provided to overcome the rejections.

- II. Rejection of claims 5,6 over obviousness type double patenting USPN '696 will not be maintained. Instant application is a Divisional of USPN '696.
- III. New rejections are cited below

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites compounds that are not in claim 5 from which it depends. The compounds in claim 6 have no antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 5,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,342 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

For rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the following factors must be considered (In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (CAFC, 1988)):

- 1) Nature of invention.
- 2) State of prior art.
- 3) Quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure
 - 4) Level of predictability in the art.
 - 5) Amount of direction and guidance provided by the inventor.
 - 6) Existence of working examples.
 - 7) Breadth of claims.
 - 8) Level of ordinary skill in the art.

1) Nature of the invention.

The nature of the invention is to pharmaceutical compositions comprising 6,7-dmethoxyquinazoline compounds for effectively inhibiting CSF-1R tyrosine kinase activity.

2) State of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art.

The state of the prior art is that it involves screening *in vitro* and *in vivo* to determine which pharmaceutical compositions exhibited the desired pharmacological activities (i.e. which compositions effectively inhibit CSF-1R tyrosine kinase activity). There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any therapeutic

Application/Control Number: 10/617,342

Art Unit: 1616

regimen on its face. The instant claimed invention is highly unpredictable as discussed below:

It is noted that the pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. *In re Fisher*, 427 F.2d 833, 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA 1970) indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statue. Further, their mode of action is often unknown or very unpredictable and administration of the pharmaceuticals can be accompanied by undesirable side effects.

Thus, in the absence of a showing of correlation between instant pharmaceutical compositions and CSF-1R tyrosine kinase activity, one of ordinary skill in the art is unable to fully predict possible results from the administration of the pharmaceutical compositions due to the unpredictability of the role of the compositions set forth in the claims.

3) Quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

The quantity of experimentation needed is undue experimentation. One of ordinary skill in the art would need to determine which compositions would effectively inhibit CSF-1R tyrosine activity.

5) Amount of direction and guidance provided by the inventor.

The amount of direction or guidance present is found on pages 22-23 wherein pharmaceutical composition comprising 6,7-dimethoxyquinone compounds were tested for inhibition of CSF-R- activity.

6) Existence of working examples.

Working examples are found on pages 22-23. Applicant's limited working examples do not enable one of ordinary skill in the art to use all claimed 6,7-

Application/Control Number: 10/617,342 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

methoxyquinazoline compounds to effectively inhibit CSF-1R tyrosine kinase activity. Note that claimed 6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline compounds are of different sizes and functionality which will affect the binding of the compounds to the kinase receptor. Because the sizes and functionalities differ from compound to compound some of the compounds will give desirable activity and others may give undesirable activity or no activity. Note that of the compounds claimed in claims 5 and 6, CSF-R-activity data is only provided for five compounds: 4-(3-phenylphenyl)-6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline, 4-(N-methylanilino)-6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline HCl, 4-(N-methyl-4-methylanilino)-6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline, 4-(alpha-naphthylamino)-6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline HCl, 4-(N-methylanilino) -6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline.

7) Breadth of claims.

Claims are extremely broad due to the vast sizes and functionality of 6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline compounds encompassed by the instant invention.

8) Level of ordinary skill in the art.

The level of ordinary skill in the art is high. Due to the unpredictability in the pharmaceutical art, it is noted that each embodiment of the invention is required to be individually assessed for physiological activity by *in vitro* and *in vivo* screening to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activity of CSF-R-tyrosine kinase inhibition.

Hence, the specification fails to provide sufficient support of the inhibition of CSF-R-activity by claimed 6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline compounds. As a result necessitating one of ordinary skill in the art to perform an exhaustive search to determine which compounds effectively inhibit CSF-R-kinase activity in order to practice the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,342

Art Unit: 1616

Genentec Inc. V. Novo Nordisk A/S (CAFC) 42 USPQ 2D 1001, states that:

"a patent is not a hunting license. It is not a reward for search, but compensation for its successful conclusion" and "[p]atent protection is granted in return for an enabling disclosure of an invention, not for vague intimations of general ideas that may or may not be workable".

Therefore, in view of the Wands factors, and *In re Fisher* (CCPA 1970) discussed above, to practice the claimed invention herein, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have to engage in undue experimentation to test claimed compounds with respect to inhibiting CSF-R-activity, with no assurance of success.

This rejection may be overcome by reciting specific closely related compounds, i.e., compounds of similar size and functionality. See working examples section above.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,342

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616

Page 7